Exhibit B

- 11	·
	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	
4	ARLIN M. ADAMS, Chapter 11 : CIVIL ACTION
5	Trustee of the Post-Confirmation : Bankruptcy Estates of CORAM :
6	HEALTHCARE, a Delaware : Corporation, and of CORAM, INC., :
	a Delaware corporation,
7	Plaintiffs :
. 8	: .vs. :
9	DANIEL D. CROWLEY, DONALD J. :
10	AMARAL, WILLIAM J. CASEY, :
11	L. PETER SMITH and SANDRA L. : SMOLEY, :
12	Defendants : NO. 04-1565 (SLR)
13	
14	Wilmington, Delaware Tuesday, April 25, 2006
15	4:40 o'clock, p.m.
. 16	
17	BEFORE: HONORABLE SUE L. ROBINSON, Chief Judge
18	
19	APPEARANCES:
20	YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
21	BY: ROLIN P. BISSELL, ESQ.
22	-and-
23	
24	Valerie J. Gunning Official Court Reporter
25	Official Court Reporter

APPEARANCES (Continued): 1 SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS 2 BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. and RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 3 (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) 4 Counsel for Plaintiffs 5 CONNOLLY, BOVE, LODGE & HUTZ LLP 6 BY: CHRISTINA M. THOMPSON, ESQ. 7 -and-8 9 KEKER & VAN NEST JOHN W. KEKER, ESQ. and 10 ELLIOT R. PETERS, ESQ. (San Francisco, California) 11 Counsel for Defendants 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 .23

24

2.5

2

PROCEEDINGS

3

3

4

. 5

(Proceedings commenced in the courtroom, beginning at 4:40 p.m.)

б

7

8

THE COURT: Good afternoon, counsel.

(Counsel respond, "Good afternoon.")

9

10

11

THE COURT: I have to say, I got your proposed scheduling order, and generally I don't have in-person conferences for just scheduling purposes. I've been in trial and I've lost track of why it is we're here besides scheduling.

12

Are we here for some reason besides scheduling?

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I apologize, unless you

14

13

MR. KEKER: No, your Honor.

15 16

THE COURT: Okay.

17

MR. KEKER: Except for the summary judgment

18

motions. No. It's just scheduling.

19 20

particularly requested it, I apologize for bringing you all

21

over here for just scheduling, but, in any event, while we're

22

here, I have looked at what you've done and appreciate your

agreement on kind of the parameters of discovery.

23

efforts to come to agreement. It looks as if you've come to

.24

One question for you before I get to the dates

.22

that I need to give you, generally, in my more complex litigation, I hate motions. I can't tell you how many motions I have to resolve, and I particularly hate discovery motions because, generally, they're not legal issues. They are really just lawyers not talking to each other and having misunderstandings. And in my more complex cases, I have in-person discovery conferences and don't allow motions to compel unless I truly can't resolve an issue by talking to you all.

So the question is whether you foresee or anticipate a difficult discovery process that might involve multiple discovery disputes that would better be resolved by me informally than through a motion practice, which I, frankly, don't want.

Any ideas from plaintiff's counsel and then from defendants counsel?

MR. BISSELL: Your Honor, I just rise for introductions. Rolin Bissell from Young Conaway.

Speaking for us today will be Barry Bressler from the Schnader Harrison firm in Philadelphia. Also with him, Mr. Barkasy, who is a member of the bar, both New Jersey and also newly admitted to Delaware, also from the Schnader firm. That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: You did it very nicely. Thank you very much.

E

MR. BRESSLER: Your Honor, good afternoon. We would not anticipate significant motion practice in connection with discovery. We are pleased to advise you that Judge Walrath yesterday entered an order approving the settlement between the plaintiff and defendants Amaral, Casey, Smith and Smoley for \$9,450,000, and we will be filing appropriate papers to remove them from the case. So it will be a two-party litigation, and we have put in the proposed form of each party being limited to two Rule 37 motions.

We don't, frankly, given new counsel in the case and our discussions with them, foresee that kind of problem, and we would be happy to address any issues.

We have one discovery informally, unless counsel thinks otherwise.

MS. THOMPSON: Not to be out done, your Honor, Christine Thompson of Connolly, Bove Lodge & Hutz.

I'd like to introduce my co-counsel, John Keker and Elliot Peters, of the law firm of Keker & Van Nest in San Francisco, California.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Welcome to beautiful Delaware. You actually get Delaware on a relatively beautiful day, so lucky to you.

MR. KEKER: It's beautiful to see the spring because we still don't have it in California.

We completely agree with Mr. Bressler. We've

.15

talked. We've come to agreement on this. We all are people who don't like discovery motions either and we will go out of our way to make sure that you are not burdened. We don't anticipate problems.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me tell you, the problem with discovery motions, quite frankly, is, when I've got marching trials and trying to keep up with the summary judgment motions in order to get the case to trial on time, discovery motions kind of fall through cracks. They don't have a high priority. And so if you really do find yourselves with a discovery dispute that in good faith you cannot resolve, why don't you give my chambers a call, or actually I have a civil e-mail address on my website, and give me the opportunity to resolve it informally, because if you want the case to keep moving forward, a motion to compel probably isn't the way to do it.

So, hopefully, not on small issues, but if there's a fundamental dispute that is keeping the case from going forward, please give me the opportunity.

All right. That was the first issue on my plate.

The second issue I guess at some point -- well, when I get papers, I think we do need to change the caption, but until that's formally done, that will stay the way it is.

•

With respect to a schedule, I generally give myself 60 to 90 days to actually resolve the summary judgment motions you file. And, unfortunately, I am booked into 2007, and so I am going more towards the 90 than the 60 just because I'm double-booked already in part of the fall, late summer and fall of 2007.

I do have a two-week time where I either only have one bench trial scheduled or no trial scheduled. That is not to say you won't be double-booked, but you will have first priority, and those are the weeks of September 17 and September 24, 2007.

Now, I have no holidays on my calendar, so if there is a religious holiday during that time, you need to let me know, and we can do something about that.

MR. BRESSLER: In 2007, your Honor, the religious holidays that I know about, Rosh Hashanah, is on September the 13th and 14th and Yom Kippur in 2007 is September the 22nd.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BRESSLER: So that I believe the only day that would be impacted would be Friday, September the 21st, cutting the trial day short. Other than that, it looks like those two weeks are fine.

MR. KEKER: Fine with us, too, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I don't generally keep a

1 2

б

- 15

jury all day on Fridays anyway. 1 I will actually make a note of that on my 2007 2 3 calendar. All right. I will put this case in for trial. 4 don't know how of a trial you think this might be, actually. 5 6 Do you think it would be a two-week trial? Not that I will necessarily give you two weeks, but if all the issues were 7 joined, might it be as long as two weeks? 8 9 Yes, your Honor. MR. KEKER: THE COURT: All right. 10 We would think a little shorter, 11 MR. BRESSLER: .12 but ten days. THE COURT: All right. Well, I've tentatively 13 scheduled you for a pretrial conference on September 5th, 14 15 2007, at 4:30. 16 MR. KEKER: Your Honor, I'm not sure what day 17 that is since I don't have a calendar, but because we're coming from the West Coast, if we could avoid the Labor Day 18 weekend --19 MR. BRESSLER: That's a Wednesday after, if that 20 21 helps you. That's fine. That's okay. 22 MR. KEKER: Okay. THE COURT: Oh, all right. And you probably 23 won't get your decisions on summary judgment much before the 24

pretrial, but you definitely will have them before the

pretrial.

. 2

· б

.12

.15.

At the pretrial, you will also get the tentative trial calendar schedule and the number of hours you will get to try this case. If case your counsel, local counsel, have not informed you, I time all of my civil trials. Each party gets a certain number of hours and every time you stand up and talk, we keep track of it to the minute, and that's the only way I can try as many cases as we do here, and it's the only way I can let a jury know for sure that they are only serving for two weeks and not for three, four, five or six, but that isn't decided until after the summary judgment motions are decided and we see what issues are left and how much time I actually have to give you.

All right. Motions in limine, then, would be due no later than August 22nd, with replies due on August 29th.

And if you all want me to handwrite these things in, I can, or if someone is particularly particular about their orders, you can take it back to word processing and fill it in for me.

Fill it in myself?

MR. BRESSLER: Yes.

THE COURT: I can do that. I can still do that.

MR. BRESSLER: The only thing I might ask counsel, if he does not have an objection, would you mind if the motions in limine were due on the 20th with the responses

on the 29th so we have ten days instead of a week?

MR. KEKER: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. So you are saying August 20 with responses due on the --

MR. BRESSLER: Whatever date your Honor had given us. The 29th, I think you said.

THE COURT: 29th. All right.

Now, the question is, you've tried settling this case and haven't; is that correct? I mean, settling the case with this particular defendant?

MR. BRESSLER: We have made efforts, your Honor. We have talked about it briefly today. We may make some efforts in the future.

There is a companion case that is currently in the District of Colorado, brought by the insurance carrier, declaratory judgment action. There is pending a motion by this defendant to transfer the venue of that case to this Court.

The plaintiff is likely to file a similar motion as soon as we have substituted in for the outside directors that are parties that we have settled with, and then we will have more of an idea. There's an interplay between the two cases that has impacted on settlement and will probably continue to impact on settlement.

THE COURT: Well, as you all know, with our one

Magistrate Judge, she is our settlement officer. She is, I think, booking into October this year because she's that backed up and that busy. I don't want you to waste her time if you don't think settlement is a possibility, a real possibility. I don't want you to waste her time if you've managed to settle everything without using her services and you have paid for someone else.

so I'm happy to leave the reference in, but -and she will contact you as soon as I sign off on this. She
gets a copy and she'll contact you. But I guess I'm just
asking you not to waste her time, if you really think there
are other options.

MR. KEKER: We would just as soon, your Honor, that you take the reference out, because given who the trustee is, our respective trustee, the candor of discussions that have been had, this insurance situation out of Colorado, which we have some mutuality of interest in, I think we're probably in a better position to decide when we need help than somebody else is, and if we need help, we can ask for help.

MR. BRESSLER: We have no objection to that, your Honor. There was also a private mediator involved previously with settlement discussions, who might, in the appropriate circumstances, if we were close, be helpful also, because he's got some knowledge of the case.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I think I will take 1 it off so that it's not even on her calendar. Obviously, if 2 you ever think her services would be helpful, you can ask for 3 the reference, and she always bends over backwards to try to 4 help us out when we have a case that is deserving of it. . 5 But in the meantime, I appreciate your candor and 6 I will take this Paragraph 4 out of the order. 7 All right. So we have a trial date. We have a 8 pretrial date. We have motions in limine date. 9 discussed settlement and we've discussed discovery. 10 Is there anything else that we can helpfully 11 address today before we conclude our conference? 12 Anything from plaintiff's counsel? 13 MR. BRESSLER: Nothing else today, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Anything from defendant's 15 counsel? 16 MR. KEKER: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Very nice seeing you all, 18 meeting you all. 19 MR. KEKER: Thank you. 20 (Court recessed at 4:55 p.m.) 21 22 23 24